

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO. 1:18-CR-246-5
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
ANDRE THOMPSON,	)	
Defendant.	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>

This matter is before the Court upon Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") that the Court accept Defendant Andre Thompson's ("Defendant") plea of guilty and enter a finding of guilty against Defendant. [ECF No. 102](#).

On December 12, 2018, the Government filed a Superseding Indictment against Defendant alleging violations of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A) and 846, and 21 U.S.C. §§ 843(b) and 846, conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute controlled substances, and use of a communication facility in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, respectively. [ECF No. 21](#). Thereafter, Defendant notified the Court of Defendant's intent to enter a plea of guilty. [ECF No. 89](#). The Court issued an order referring the matter to the Magistrate Judge for the purpose of receiving Defendant's guilty plea. [ECF No. 90](#).

On July 1, 2019, Magistrate Judge Limbert held a hearing during which Defendant consented to the order of referral ([ECF No. 99](#)) and entered a guilty plea to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment. Specifically, Magistrate Judge Limbert received Defendant's guilty plea

to conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and distribution of controlled substances in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C), and 21 U.S.C. § 846, and issued a Report recommending that this Court accept Defendant Andre Thompson's plea and enter a finding of guilty. [ECF No. 102](#).

The time limitation to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation has expired and neither party has filed objections or requested an extension of time.

Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b) states:

Before the court accepts a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, the defendant may be placed under oath, and the court must address the defendant personally in open court. During this address, the court must inform the defendant of, and determine that the defendant understands, the following: (A) the government's right, in a prosecution for perjury or false statement, to use against the defendant any statement that the defendant gives under oath; (B) the right to plead not guilty, or having already so pleaded, to persist in that plea; (C) the right to a jury trial; (D) the right to be represented by counsel - and if necessary have the court appoint counsel - at trial and at every other stage of the proceeding; (E) the right at trial to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected from compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to compel the attendance of witnesses; (F) the defendant's waiver of these trial rights if the court accepts a plea of guilty or nolo contendere; (G) the nature of each charge to which the defendant is pleading; (H) any maximum possible penalty, including imprisonment, fine, and term of supervised release; (I) any mandatory minimum penalty; (J) any applicable forfeiture; (K) the court's authority to order restitution; (L) the court's obligation to impose a special assessment; (M) in determining a sentence, the court's obligation to calculate the applicable sentencing-guideline range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. §3553(a); and (N) the terms of any plea-agreement provision waiving the right to appeal or to collaterally attack the sentence.

The undersigned has reviewed the transcript and the Magistrate Judge's R&R and finds,

that in his careful and thorough proceeding, Magistrate Judge Limbert satisfied the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 and the United States Constitution. Defendant was placed under oath and determined to be competent to enter a plea of guilty. Defendant was made aware of the charges and consequences of conviction and his rights and waiver thereof. Magistrate Judge Limbert also correctly determined that Defendant had consented to proceed before the magistrate judge and tendered his plea of guilty knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. Furthermore, the magistrate judge also correctly found that there was an adequate factual basis for the plea.

Upon *de novo* review of the record, the Report and Recommendation is adopted. Therefore, Defendant Andre Thompson is adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment, conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and distribution of controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C), and 21 U.S.C. § 846.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 31, 2019  
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson  
Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge